

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/807,023	CHEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Andrew D. Kosar.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Philip Dattow.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 25 July 2006

**Time:** 13:00

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*6 and 7*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Contacted Applicant's representative to obtain authorization for the examiner's amendment which replaces the typographical error 'to to' with 'to', placing the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)